

Voluntary Industry Reporting Form for 6 (a) (2) Adverse Effects Incident Information.

Provide all Known, required information. If Required data field information is unknown, designate as such in appropriate area.

Administrative Data			
Reporter Name	Submission Date	Contact Person	Internal ID
[REDACTED]	EXEMPT	SUNDEE WILLIAMS	MLTWO1800499
Address	Address		
[REDACTED] HARDWICK, MN 56134	2 T.W. ALEXANDER DR. RTP, NC, 27709		
Phone#	Phone#		
[REDACTED]	(919) 549-2255		
Incident Status	Date of Incident	Date registrant became aware of Incident	Was incident part of a larger study?
NEW	5/25/2018	5/25/2018	NO
Pesticide(s) Involved			
EPA Registration # (Product 1)	EPA Registration # (Product 2)	EPA Registration # (Product 3)	
264-1066	N/A	N/A	
A.I.(s)	A.I.(s)	A.I.(s)	
THIENCARBAZONE-METHYL & ISOXAFLUTOLE	N/A	N/A	
Product 1 Name	Product 2 Name	Product 3 Name	
CORVUS HERBICIDE	N/A	N/A	
Exposed to Concentrate prior to dilution?	Exposed to Concentrate prior to dilution?	Exposed to Concentrate prior to dilution?	
NO	NO	NO	
Formulation	Formulation	Formulation	
EMULSIFIABLE CONCENTRATE	N/A	N/A	

Incident Circumstances		
Evidence Label directors were not followed?	Incident Site: (ex. Including Home, yard, school, industrial, nursery/greenhouse, surface water, commercial turf, building/office, forest/woods, agricultural, (specify crop), right-of-way (rail, utility, highway)).	Situation (act of using product): (ex include mixing/loading, reentry, application, transportation, repair/maintenance of application equipment, manufacturing/formulation)
NO	AGRICULTURAL FIELD CORN	APPLICATION
Intentional misuse?		
NO		
Application certified PCO?		
YES		
How exposed: (ex. include direct contact with treated surface, ingestion, spill, drift, runoff)	Brief Description of incident circumstance	
DIRECT CONTACT	ALLEGED PHYTOTOXIC REACTION TO PRODUCT FOLLOWING APPLICATION TO CORN CROP.	

Voluntary Industry Reporting Form for 6 (a) (2) incident Information Involving Fish, Wildlife, Plants, or Other Non-Target Org.

Provide all Known, required information. If Required data field information is unknown, designate as such in appropriate area.

Information	
Lists species affected	List Symptoms or adverse effects
CORN	LEAF BURN, WHITENING AT 3 LEAF OR LATER
Number of acres effected per species	
7.00	

Magnitude of effect 100.00% Pesticide application rate per acre 5.60 OZ Application Method POST-BROADCAST	If Plant, Plant type CORN Intended use site AGRICULTURAL FIELD
If lab test(s) performed, list name of tests and results (Submit laboratory report(s) is available) NONE Distance from Treatment site NONE	Description of the habitat and the circumstances under which the incident occurred AGRICULTURAL FIELD - COMMERCIAL APPLICATION OF PRODUCT Fish, wildlife, plant, other non-target organism severity categories P-A
This box can be used to provide any explanatory or Qualifying information surrounding the incident. (add additional pages if necessary).	

Program (Map the Info Below)

	Product	Rate/Acre	UOM
Bayer Pesticide 1	CORVUS 2X2.5 GAL CASE	5.60	OZ
Bayer Pesticide 2			
Bayer Pesticide 3			
Other Pesticide 1		0.00	
Other Pesticide 2		0.00	
Other Pesticide 3		0.00	
Adjuvant/Additive 1		0.00	
Adjuvant/Additive 2		0.00	
Adjuvant/Additive 3		0.00	

Comments/Descriptions

Brief Description of Incident circumstances

Notes

Grower was planning on using Corvus as a pre herbicide while using COC to aid in burn down of emerged weeds. This seven acres had emerged and were at the V2 stage but the grower forgot to remove the COC from the tank mix causing some burn on the leaves and some bleaching. Investigated the field on 5-31-2018. Believe that the corn will recover

Probable Causes

ADJUVANT

EPA Reporting Questions and Answers

1. Does the report allege phytotoxicity to non-target plants(runoff, carryover)? *	N
1a. If yes, what was affected(runoff,carryover,treated plants)?	
1b. If yes, were the non-target plants present at the site and time of the applications?	N
1c. If yes, was there an explicit label warning regarding phytotoxicity to the non-target plants?	N
2. Does the report allege drift? *	N
2a. If yes, was the alleged drift consistent with anticipated drift?	N
2b. If yes, acres affected by the drift?	0.00
2c. If yes, what was affected?	
2d. If yes, distance of affected area from treated area?	0.00
2e. If yes, what plant species was affected?	

3. Does the Report allege phytotoxicity in the treated crop? *	N
3a. If yes, was the alleged phytotoxicity in the treated crop?	N
3b. If yes, and the alleged phytotoxicity was non-lethal, was there an explicit label warning?	N
4. Does the report allege a toxic effect to pests non-specified on the label?	N
5. Does the report allege or involve a secondary pest infestation?	N
6. Exposed to Concentrate?	N
7. Were tissue samples analyzed?	N

SKW exempted based on field representative's notes and label language that says: "Tank-mixtures with other herbicides or adjuvants are not recommended for early post-emergence applications of CORVUS Herbicide as crop response symptoms including bleaching, leaf edge necrosis and stunting may result."